Abstract

The poor clinical translation of oncological nanomedicine products is one of the greatest challenges faced by research today. The use of reductionist in vitro models of human cancer and non-predictive animal models is generally considered as one of the main causes of such very low translation rate. The integration of three-dimensional (3D) tumour spheroids in the early stages of the preclinical screening pipeline could significantly facilitate the translation of nanomedicine candidates into clinical practice, by allowing for a more reliable prediction of their efficacy and safety in humans. To lead a successful integration of 3D spheroids, protocols that satisfy issues of ease-of-use, reproducibility and compatibility with conventional and high-throughput assays, without losing the advantages offered by two-dimensional (2D) cell systems, are still needed. To address such need, protocols for the formation and characterisation of scaffold-free 3D tumour spheroids of human adenocarcinoma cells were developed and optimised in this study for their application in nanomedicine safety testing. The protocols reported in this chapter provide the ground on how 3D tumour spheroids could be implemented to design nanomedicine products and speed up experimental cancer research, eliminating those candidates that are likely to be ineffective or unsafe in human at early development stages.
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1. Introduction

Due to the lack of effective treatment schemes and the high mortality associated with many malignancies, the efforts of the pharmaceutical industry have recently focused on developing new target-oriented nanomedicine products for improving the cancer patients’ survival rate [1].

In parallel to novel targeted anticancer drugs (e.g. imatinib, trastuzumab, crizotinib and vemurafenib) [2], various nanomedicine products [3, 4] have been approved for clinical use in recent times, for more effective and safer cancer treatment. Nevertheless, the attrition rate of more than 90% for new drug candidates entering clinical trials is raising increasing concern [5–7]. One of the major reasons for drugs failure, accounting for around 60% of failed clinical trials, is the lack of efficacy in humans [8–10], albeit the therapeutic effect was robustly demonstrated earlier in preclinical studies. The translation rate of nanomedicine products into real clinical anticancer treatments is also worryingly low [3, 11]. Limitations in the preclinical toolbox currently used in the drug discovery pipeline are believed to be one of the major causes for such growing failures and poor translation [5, 12–14]. For example, doubts as to the relevance of animal models in preclinical studies have been raised: various systematic reviews [15–21] describe in fact the inadequateness of animal research for the efficacy assessments of new drug candidates. Conventional two-dimensional (2D) in vitro models are likewise considered as highly reductionist [22], endangering the relevance of the preclinical efficacy data collected [5]. Thus, the integration of new preclinical models in the drug development pipeline is urgently needed.

In order to downtrend the raising failure rates in clinical trials, to increase successful clinical translation with reduced R&D costs and animal experimentation, and ultimately to find new and safe cancer therapies (Figure 1), preclinical models must better reflect human in vivo conditions. This will assist the clear identification of compounds that have the potential to target specifically and selectively those receptors, markers or cellular behaviours characteristic of malignant cells, allowing for newly identified compounds and nanomedicine products to benefit from enhanced efficacy.

There is overwhelming evidence that in vitro three-dimensional (3D) tumour spheroids (i.e. microscale 3D spherical cultures of living cancer cells cultured under non-adherent conditions [23] can provide predictive information on drug efficacy and safety in a smart, cost- and time-effective manner [24]. 3D tumour spheroids can be formed by either self-assembling or by forcing cells to grow as cell clusters starting from single cell suspensions [25]. Conventional methods for spheroid formation include hanging drops, culture of cells on non-adherent surfaces, spinner flask cultures and rotary cell culture systems [26].

It has been demonstrated that 3D tumour spheroids more accurately reflect the responses of human tumours than simple 2D cell cultures [27], in particular with respect to drug sensitivity [28, 29] and nanomedicine efficacy [30]. Some targeted compounds have already proven to be more effective in 3D spheroids than in 2D cultures [31, 32]. In general, however, tumour cells cultured in 3D exhibit significantly increased drug resistance compared
to those grown in 2D monolayer cultures. For example, in spheroid models of colorectal cancer [33] and pancreatic cancer [34], a reduction in the responsiveness to antitumour agents was observed as a function of multicellular 3D architecture. Multicellular drug resistance is not a new concept and has been studied for the past three decades [35–42]. It is associated with the ability of 3D tumour spheroids from human cancer cell lines to mimic cell dormancy [43, 44]. Cell dormancy originates from the fact that cancer cells in poorly vascularised tumour regions need to adapt to an unfavourable metabolic microenvironment [45], stopping cell cycle progression and becoming dormant. This can confer cancer cells the resistance to drug treatment in humans [46–48]. 3D tumour spheroids can also reproduce cell-cell interactions between cancer cells and/or cancer cells and stroma [49–51]. These interactions strongly influence tumour cells [52] in relation to growth [53], metastasis [53] and response to radio-/chemo-therapy [54]. Various studies have shown in fact that radio- and chemo-resistance of cancer cells is associated with cell-cell adhesion [55]: the term ‘cell adhesion-mediated drug resistance’ (CAM-DR) has been used to describe this resistance phenomenon [56, 57].

Figure 1. Scheme of the drug development pipeline (A) currently in use and (B) envisaged via the implementation of new and more predictive preclinical models. (A) Once active compounds have been identified through the process of drug discovery, preclinical research (in vitro studies on cultured cells and in vivo studies on animals) are carried out, followed by clinical trials (on humans). Drug development is costly, in particular when carrying out in vivo and clinical studies. The current drug development pipeline leads to only one successful market product over 5000–10,000 active compounds identified. (B) Advanced preclinical models capable of predicting drug failures earlier in the ‘proof-of-principle’ stage, prior to entering costly in vivo and clinical studies, will decrease the overall costs of drug development. Studies on 3D tumour spheroids may help significantly, filtering out as early as possible compounds that may not be successful in in vivo and clinical studies, thus decreasing the total cost of drug development and increasing the success rate of active compounds in reaching the market as effective chemotherapeutic agents.
For the purpose of translating oncological nanomedicine products, assessing the ability of the nanocarrier to penetrate into the tumour tissue is essential. 3D tumour spheroids do indeed offer a more predictive in vitro model for assessing this aspect [58–65]. Such in vitro models have also been extensively used in the last years to evaluate the efficacy [62, 66–72] and safety/toxicity [73–76] of various nanomedicine products with oncological applications, as well as to assess the effect of nanomaterials’ physico-chemical properties on their ability to act as nanocarriers of anticancer agents [77–83].

Finally, the use of 3D tumour spheroids considerably limits the ethical issues associated with the use of animal preclinical models, in agreement with the ‘3Rs (Reduction, Refinement, Replacement) principle’ of Russell and Burch in animal research [84].

In light of these considerations, 3D tumour spheroids represent (i) a more predictive and accurate preclinical model and (ii) a key milestone towards a faster and sustainable development of effective nanomedicine products. Consequently, research efforts have recently focused towards adopting 3D tumour spheroids into test platforms, and 3D tumour spheroids has been proposed as ‘standard-to-be’ for the development and optimisation of new chemotherapeutic agents [85, 86]. From the pharmaceutical industry prospective, it has been also widely accepted that incorporation of 3D tumour spheroids into the drug development pipeline can help selecting the most promising drug candidates prior to clinical trials and to determine future-oriented treatment modalities [14, 87]. What still remains unclear is how to produce such in vitro models with a significantly higher degree of equivalence to their in vivo counterparts, while making them technically feasible for industrial-scale reliable testing [88]. Indeed, this technological gap has highly hindered the implementation of 3D tumour spheroids as testing platform by the pharmaceutical and nanomedicine industries. Our study aims at addressing this gap by reporting standardised protocols for the formation, characterisation and application of scaffold-free 3D spheroids. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) was chosen as target cancer type because several therapeutic drugs intended for use in this malignancy have recently failed to show major benefit in clinical trials, despite promising preclinical data [89, 90].

### 2. Relevance of standardised testing in nanotoxicology

There is overwhelming evidence that in vitro 3D tumour spheroids more accurately predict the drug sensitivity of human tumours than conventional 2D cultures. In the market, there are several products available for spheroids preparation; however, for many of them operators are left with the burden to optimise the working protocols to their specific needs. The protocols described herein provide technical solutions for the formation of scaffold-free 3D spheroids and for the characterisation of their architecture and protein marker expression. To support the identification of candidates with clinical potential, protocols are provided for the collection of quantitative data on the efficacy and safety of drug nanocarriers (nanomedicine).
No further optimisation is needed, with the additional advantage of their full compatibility with contemporary high-throughput technologies.

3. Experimental details

3.1. Reagents

Reagents used in this study were chosen due to their wide availability worldwide. Please note that these can be purchased from other distributors and manufacturers than those listed here, except if differently specified. TrypLE™ solution, rhodamine phalloidin, Hoechst 33342 counterstain, Mouse Anti-Human β-catenin primary IgG, Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated Goat anti-Mouse secondary IgG, FITC-conjugated Mouse Anti-Human Occludin IgG, Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human Connexin-43 IgG, Live/Dead Cytotoxicity kit for mammalian cells and 0.4% Trypan Blue Solution (all from Invitrogen Ltd) were purchased from Bio-Sciences Ltd (Ireland). Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) tablets, 37% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution, glutaraldehyde (GA), bovine serum albumin (BSA), Triton X-100, hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) and absolute ethanol (EtOH) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Ireland). VECTASHIELD transparent mounting medium was purchased from Vector Laboratories Inc. (CA, USA). Sheep Anti-Human Fibronectin Antigen Affinity-purified Polyclonal primary IgG, NorthernLights™ 557-conjugated Anti-Sheep secondary IgG, Goat Anti-Human Vimentin Antigen Affinity-purified Polyclonal primary IgG, and NorthernLights™ 557-conjugated Anti-Goat secondary IgG were purchased from R&D Systems (Ireland). FITC-conjugated Mouse Anti-Human E-cadherin IgG and BD Cycletest™ Plus DNA Reagent Kit was supplied by BD Biosciences (Oxford, UK). Connexin 43 antibody and E-cadherin (24E10) rabbit mAb used for Western blot experiments were purchased from Cell Signalling Technology (Brennan and Company, Ireland).

3.2. Cell culture

Human alveolar adenocarcinoma cells (A549 cell line) (ATCC® CCL-185™) and human lung fibroblasts (MRC-5 cell line) (ATCC® CCL-171™) were obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection (LGC standards, Middlesex, UK). A549 and MRC-5 cells were cultured in Ham’s F12K medium supplemented with 2nM L-glutamine (Gibco, Invitrogen Ltd, Bio-Sciences Ltd, Ireland), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Invitrogen Ltd, Bio-Sciences Ltd, Ireland) and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Ireland).

Cell culture flasks, 24-well low-cell binding plates (Nunc™) and 96-well ultra-low attachment (ULA) plates (Corning Costar) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Ireland). Happy Cell™ ASM medium and 96-well low-cell binding plates (Biocroi Ltd) were kindly donated by Biocroi Ltd (Ireland). Happy Cell™ ASM medium is a polymer-based suspension media of low viscosity that enables the 3D culture of cells [91]. 96-well flat-bottom, non-treated plates (BD Falcon™) and 96-well U-bottom, non-treated plates (BD Falcon™) were purchased
from BD Biosciences (Oxford, UK). Four-well Millicell EZ slides were supplied by Millipore (Ireland).

3.3. Equipment

A Zeiss 510 meta laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM) equipped with a Zeiss LSM 5 software and Zeiss Orion Plus He-ion microscope (both from Carl Zeiss, Germany) were used for imaging the 3D tumour spheroids. A Countess™ cell counter (Invitrogen, UK) was used for trypan blue exclusion assay, while BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, UK) was used for high-throughput assays. The Volocity 3D Image Analysis Software (PerkinElmer Inc., MA, USA) was used for surface rendering of Z-stack images and co-localization studies. Flow cytometry was carried out by means of BD Accuri™ C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK).

4. Technical protocols and considerations

This study describes the protocols for the formation, characterisation and use of 3D tumour spheroids as *in vitro* models for testing nanomedicine products. These protocols, which are simple, validated and reproducible, can be grouped into three main categories (highlighted in blue boxes in Figure 2): (1) protocols for the formation of 3D tumour spheroids, (2) protocols for analytical techniques and (3) protocols for specialised applications.

4.1. Formation of 3D tumour spheroids

One aspect commonly missing in all commercially available products for the formation of scaffold-free 3D tumour spheroids is the description of achievable spheroid size. In addition to this, not all available methodologies produce an abundance of 3D spheroids, and often the *in vitro* models formed have different dimension and shape. The direct consequence is that 3D tumour spheroids are often not comparable among studies, as they are formed of cells in different proliferative and metabolic states, raising serious concerns about the reproducibility of data produced. A recent study has in fact showed that a number of morphology parameters (including volume and shape) affect the response of spheroids to treatment [92]. Such lack of reproducibility is hindering the use of 3D tumour spheroids in preclinical tests.

A protocol was developed in this study allowing for the formation of scaffold-free, non-adherent 3D tumour spheroids of A549 cells with or without the use of a reference commercial product (Happy Cell™ ASM) in combination with various commercially available multi-well plates. Our protocol allowed forming 3D models with well-defined and highly reproducible size in a range between 200 μm and 2 mm. In particular, our 3D tumour spheroids mimicked the size of early stage NSCLC at clinical stages 0–I, where the tumour mass has dimensions below 3 cm.
4.1.1. Formation of 3D tumour models of A549 cells

3D tumour spheroids of A549 cells were prepared as described here below.

A. Preparing Happy Cell™ ASM for use:

- Dilute F12K/DMEM Happy Cell™ ASM 2× (commercial product) in Ham’s F12K media (supplemented with 2mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS)) in 1:9 ratio, thus obtaining Happy Cell™ ASM 1×.
B. Preparing multi-well plates for cell seeding:

- Aliquot Happy Cell™ ASM 1× in a 24-well plate (500 μl/well) or in a 96-well plate (200 μl/well). If using multi-well ultra-low attachment (ULA) plates, the use of Happy Cell™ ASM can be avoided. An equal amount of supplemented media should be aliquoted in its place.

- If preparation of cell suspension is delayed, incubate plates at 37°C, 5% CO₂ until use.

C. Cell seeding:

- Maintain cell line as adherent monolayer cultures in T75 cell culture flasks in Ham’s F12K media supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10% FBS. Incubate at 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

- Rinse the cells with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and add 2 mL of TrypLE™ to detach adherent A549 cells (at 80% confluence) from the culture flask.

- Incubate at 37°C for 3–5 min.

- Neutralise TrypLE™ with 6 mL fresh supplemented Ham’s F12K media and centrifuge the cells suspension at 5000 rpm for 4 min.

- Aliquot the cell suspension in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes (1 mL cells suspension/tube). For the applications described within this exercise, an initial cell seeding density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/mL in supplemented Ham’s F12K media is recommended.

- Centrifugation step: centrifuge the cell suspensions (7200 rpm, 1 min). A cells pellet should form at the bottom of the microtube.

- Carefully aspirate one cells pellet at a time with a sterile, plastic 1000 μL syringe tip.

- Inoculate the cells pellet on the Happy Cell™ ASM 1× (or supplemented media) previously aliquoted in multi-well plates (1 cells pellet/well).

- Incubate for 4 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂. This culture time (spheroid growth phase) is comparable to those reported in the scientific literature [93].

Our experimental data indicated that three main parameters are key in defining the final size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed following our protocol. These are (i) competitive cell adhesion and cell monolayer formation (the stronger is the cell adhesion to the culture substrate, the smaller are the 3D tumour spheroids formed); (ii) initial cell aggregation (the higher cell aggregation is when seeding the cells, the bigger are the 3D tumour spheroids formed) and (iii) the spheroid-liquid surface tension (the lower is the viscosity of the spheroid’s surrounding environment, the bigger the spheroids will grow in size). In the next sections, detailed evidence on the importance of such parameters is presented and supported by experimental data.
4.1.1.1. Competitive cell adhesion and cell monolayer formation: selecting the appropriate multi-well plate

Commercially available multi-well plates are available in a variety of formats. In deciding which multi-well plate format to use, the application intended for 3D tumour spheroids should be considered carefully.

The overall tumour response to chemotherapeutic treatments is in fact affected by a multitude of factors, among which is the tumour size. Tumour size is known to strongly affect the diffusion and penetration of molecular and nano-enabled chemotherapeutic treatments decreasing their efficacy \[94\]. By varying their size, 3D tumour spheroids are thus amenable to therapy-related studies with different emphasis, ranging from studies focusing either on the investigation of the micro-environmental regulation of tumour cell physiology or on the therapeutic efficacy of drugs in authentic pathophysiological milieu conditions. Spheroid size needs therefore to be precisely defined when integrating 3D cell models in drug-testing strategies.

Several commercially available multi-well cell culture plates compatible with high-throughput assays have been tested within this study. In order to perform a comparative assessment of the most suitable multi-well plates for spheroid formation, identical spheroid preparation reagents were used in all relevant experiments. Thus, 3D tumour spheroids were always formed in Happy Cell™ ASM, in combination with various commercially available multi-well plates. The growth of 3D tumour spheroids was monitored by light microscopy for all the tested multi-well plate formats. Table 1 reports the size distributions of the spheroids obtained in the various plate formats investigated, whereas representative light microscopy images of the 3D tumour spheroids formed are shown in Figure 3. Light microscopy imaging showed that 3D tumour spheroids formed in 24-well low-cell binding plates and ULA plates were bigger and more compact, and therefore, more mechanically robust.

4.1.1.2. Initial cell aggregation: the influence of centrifugation on the size of 3D tumour spheroids

Next important feature to consider is how cell aggregation during seeding influences the final size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed. A parallel set of experiments, where cells were seeded avoiding any centrifugation prior to plating, demonstrated the strong influence of this step in defining the final size of the spheroids, except when using 96-well low-cell binding plate. The main outcomes of our experiments (in terms of tumour size) are summarised in Table 2. Our results demonstrated that, for obtaining spheroids of size above 1 mm after 4 days in culture, the centrifugation step described in the protocol was necessary.

4.1.1.3. Spheroid-liquid surface tension

As stated above, the viscosity of the spheroid’s surrounding environment influences the final size of the in vitro model. Our experimental data showed that the dilution factor of Happy Cell™ ASM in supplemented cell culture media contributed to defining the final size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed (Table 3). In detail, increasing the viscosity of the surrounding
environment by reducing the dilution of Happy Cell™ ASM to a 1:1 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) ratio caused a reduction in tumour spheroids size, except in ULA plates. Thus, our results suggested that, for obtaining spheroids of size above 1 mm, a 1:9 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) ratio or the use of ULA multi-well plates (in combination or without Happy Cell™ ASM) is recommended.

4.1.2. Formation of 3D co-culture tumour models

3D co-culture tumour models including heterotypic cellular components (also referred to as multicellular spheroid (MCS) models or multicellular 3D tumour spheroids) play a critical role in recreating the tumour microenvironment in vitro. The tumour microenvironment plays a critical role in cancer cell differentiation, and greatly impacts therapeutic efficiency of chemotherapeutic drugs. Co-culture 3D tumour models represent therefore one of the most promising in vitro systems for predictive testing of compound efficacy in oncology [94]. Thus, in this study, we developed protocols allowing the formation of a 3D co-culture tumour model that aims to analyse the interplay of NSCLC cells and the healthy surrounding connective tissue. In detail, our model comprised 3D tumour spheroids of lung cancer epithelial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-well plate format</th>
<th>Spheroid size (mm)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of wells</strong></td>
<td><strong>Well shape</strong></td>
<td><strong>Well surface material</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Low-cell binding polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Low-cell binding polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>ULA polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Non-treated polystyrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U-bottom</td>
<td>Non-treated polystyrene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tumour spheroids were grown in Happy Cell™ ASM at a 1:9 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) dilution ratio. With exemption of 96-well plates from Biocro Ltd., all multi-well plate formats tested are gold-standard cell culture substrates available from conventional suppliers. Cell culture plates provided by Biocro Ltd. are included for comparison, as a recommended substrate for spheroids growth in Happy Cell™ ASM.

Table 1. Multi-well plate formats tested and main experimental outcomes in respect to the size of 3D tumour spheroids formed.
Figure 3. Comparison of 3D tumour spheroids size formed in various multi-well plate formats: (A) 96-well low-cell binding plate; (B) 24-well low-cell binding plate; (C–D) 96-well non-treated plate with (C) flat-bottom or (D) U-bottom wells; and (E) 96-well ULA plate. Tumour spheroids were grown in Happy Cell™ ASM at a 1:9 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) dilution ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-well plate format</th>
<th>Spheroid size (mm)</th>
<th>Consequences of lack of centrifugation step</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low binding multi-well plates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biocroi Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunc™</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-treated multi-well plates (BD Falcon™)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ULA multi-well plates (Corning Costar)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spheroids were grown in Happy Cell™ ASM at a 1:9 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) dilution ratio. Conclusions on the consequences associated to the lack of the centrifugation step on the spheroids size are drawn based on the comparison to the spheroid sizes values reported in Table 1.

Table 2. Influence of centrifugation step on the final size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed in the various multi-well culture plates tested.
(A549) cells cultured on a 2D monolayer of healthy stromal fibroblasts (MRC-5 cells). 3D co-culture tumour models were prepared as described below.

A. Formation of a fibroblast monolayer:

- Maintain MRC-5 cell line as adherent monolayer culture in T75 cell culture flasks in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) media supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10% FBS, at 5% CO₂ at 37°C.
- Rinse the cells with PBS and add 2 mL of TrypLE™ to detach adherent MRC-5 cells (at 80% confluence) from the culture flask.
- Incubate at 37°C for 3–5 min.
- Neutralise TrypLE™ with 6 mL fresh supplemented EMEM media and centrifuge the cells suspension at 5000 rpm for 4 min.
- Using cell seeding density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/mL in supplemented EMEM media, plate the cells in four-well chambered Millicell EZ slides (final volume: 500 μL/well).
- Incubate for 2/3 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until cell monolayer confluence is achieved.

B. Seeding of 3D tumour spheroids:

- With a sterile, plastic 1000 μL syringe tip, carefully aspirate the 3D tumours spheroids previously grown from A549 cells for 4 days with or without Happy Cell™ ASM 1×.

### Table 3. Influence of the viscosity of the surrounding environment on the final size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multi-well plate format</th>
<th>Number of wells</th>
<th>Well shape</th>
<th>Well surface material</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Spheroid size (mm)</th>
<th>Influence of increased viscosity of environment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Not formed</td>
<td>• None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Low-cell binding polystyrene</td>
<td>Nunc™</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.15</td>
<td>• Decreased spheroids size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Flat-bottom</td>
<td>Low-cell binding polystyrene</td>
<td>Biocroi Ltd</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>• No significant changes in spheroids size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ULA polystyrene</td>
<td>Corning Costar</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.75</td>
<td>• Decreased spheroids size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U-bottom</td>
<td>Non-treated polystyrene</td>
<td>BD Falcon™</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>• Decreased spheroids size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-treated polystyrene</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental outcomes (in terms of tumour size) are here reported for spheroids grown in Happy Cell™ ASM at a 1:1 (Happy Cell™ ASM: supplemented media) dilution ratio. The influence of the increased viscosity of the environment on the spheroids size are evaluated based on the comparison to the spheroid sizes values reported in Table 1.
• Inoculate the 3D tumour spheroids on the fibroblast monolayers. It is recommend to use a mixture of supplemented Ham’s F12K and EMEM media (ratio 1:1) as culture media for the co-culture 3D tumour models.

• Incubate for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ to allow the formation of cell-cell adhesions.

**Figure 4** shows representative laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM) images of the fully formed 3D co-culture tumour models. For specific LSCM staining and imaging protocols please refer to the appropriate sections of this manuscript.

### 4.2. Protocol for analytical techniques

A wide variety of techniques can be used to study 3D tumour spheroids characteristics. A comprehensive description of such techniques can be found in recently published reviews [26, 95]. The protocols that we describe within this section provide practical guidelines for the application of some of these techniques, allowing for the analytical characterisation of 3D tumour spheroids and 3D co-culture tumour models. These protocols were optimised on 3D tumour spheroids grown in 96-well ULA plates (with or without Happy Cell™ ASM), as these proven to be the most mechanically robust cultures formed. Robustness of 3D tumour spheroids was assessed as for their capability to maintain their shape and size under mechanical stress (e.g. agitation or transfer with a pipette). Conventional two-dimensional (2D) cell monolayers (grown onto glass substrates according to cell supplier guidelines) were used as controls during the validation of our protocols for scaffold-free 3D spheroids.

#### 4.2.1. Cellular imaging

A complete characterisation of the spheroid architecture and protein markers expression in the 3D tumour models used in preclinical studies is crucial for extrapolating and interpreting...
valuable data on the efficacy of the molecular or nano-enabled chemotherapeutic agent under investigation. Because scaffold-free 3D tumour spheroids are grown in liquid media and do not adhere to a substrate, such cell models are difficult to image due to the Brownian motion in the culture plates. Thus, the first challenge in imaging scaffold-free tumour spheroids is to stabilise the 3D cell structures, without disrupting their architecture and markers expression, to allow high-quality images to be captured and analysed.

In this study, protocols are described for monitoring and analysing fixed, physically intact spheroid cultures. By applying these protocols it is possible to implement a suite of conventional and advanced imaging technologies, such as LSCM and He-ion microscopy (HIM) to characterise: (i) cells’ shape and organisation of their cytoskeleton; (ii) phenotype of the cells forming the 3D tumour spheroids and (iii) the degree of tumour differentiation.

Essential steps needed for preparing 3D tumour spheroids for conventional or advanced imaging analysis are described below.

**A. Harvesting:**

- At the chosen time point, harvest cells by gentle aspiration of 100 μL/well media. This operation cannot be performed with vacuum pipettes, to avoid accidental aspiration of the 3D tumour spheroids formed.

**B. Fixation:**

- Add 200 μL/well of fixative and incubate at room temperature.
- Special considerations: fixation of 3D tumour spheroids can be achieved by a chemical approach. Fixation is usually the first stage in a multistep process to prepare a sample for microscopy or other analysis. It is important, when selecting a fixative to have clearly set in mind the final purpose of the analysis, which must be considered and matched by the requirements for the analytical technique. Some fixatives are suitable for general structure analysis, others for immunocytochemistry. Survival of tissue antigens for immunohistochemical staining depends on the type and concentration of fixative, on fixation time and on the size of the tissue specimen to be fixed. In relation to the suite of conventional and advanced imaging technologies discussed in this study, it is recommended the use of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) as fixative for conventional imaging techniques (e.g. LSCM), with an incubation time of 10 min at room temperature. If immunocytochemistry is performed, the selection of the fixative solution should be in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions for the antibodies used. Finally, for advanced imaging analysis (e.g. HIM), immersion in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (GA) for 30 min at room temperature (25°C) is recommended. Incubation overnight at 4°C is also suitable. Avoid longer incubation time, as formaldehyde-derived products can cause cells shrinkage overtime.
- Gently aspirate 200 μL/well.
C. Washing:

- Wash with 200 μL/well PBS by gently adding and then removing the solution to/from the wells.
- Agitation or shaking is to be avoided during this phase to not shear the 3D spheroids from the bottom of the well.

D. Mounting:

- Gently aspirate the 3D tumour spheroids with a 1000 μL tip and transfer them on microscope glass slides.
- Mount the glass slide in transparent mounting medium prior to LSCM analysis—when covering with a glass coverslip, take care not to create air bubbles, then seal with nail varnish or tape. If using nail varnish, leave to dry for at least 30 min at room temperature in the dark before imaging.

E. Storage:

- The stability of the 3D spheroids has been tested at regular intervals: fixed spheroids can be stored in sterile PBS at 4°C up to 1 month.
- Glass slides can be stored in the dark at 4°C up to few months.

4.2.1.1. Conventional microscopy

When viewing an unstained 3D tumour spheroid under brightfield illumination, the combined density and thickness of the 3D culture prevents the clear visualisation of individual cells (as seen in Figure 3E). However, fluorescent staining and immunocytochemistry coupled with LSCM can be successfully used to image 3D tumour spheroids at the individual cell level. LSCM relies in fact on the combination of point illumination and a pinhole to eliminate most of the out-of-focus light signal and allows for reconstruction of 3D volumes, making it ideal to image thick samples, such as 3D tumour spheroids.

Here we describe the protocols for the visualisation of various cell markers within 3D tumour spheroids by fluorescent staining or immunocytochemistry. For best results we recommend to acquire Z-stack images by LSCM with intervals in the range between 0.8 and 1.2 μm. Note that spheroids thickness might in some cases exceed the Z-stack capacity of some models of confocal microscopes. Please also note that successful LSCM imaging requires the careful optimization of microscope set-ups. Specific filters, detectors and pinhole size for imaging the specimens might need to be optimised according to the specifications of the microscope and fluorescent dyes used. Long-term exposure of dyes to fluorescent light can lead to photobleaching, so ensuring that shutters closed in between image acquisitions can reduce this problem.
3D tumour spheroids of A549 cells can be stained with fluorescent probes or by immunocytochemistry as described below.

A. Fluorescent staining of fixed 3D tumour spheroids:

- Add staining solution (100 μL/well) to the specimens.
- Incubated for 4 h at room temperature in the dark.
- Wash with 200 μL/well PBS by gently adding and then removing the solution to/from the wells. Repeat the washing step twice.

B. Immunocytochemistry of fixed 3D tumour spheroids

- If a cell permeabilization step is needed, incubate overnight at 4°C with 0.1% Triton X-100 (200 μL/well). The long incubation time is needed to allow the solution to perfuse into the inner core of the 3D tumour spheroids. Note that if using methanol/acetone fixation, no permeabilization step is required.
- Wash with 200 μL/well PBS by gently adding, and then removing the solution to/from the wells.
- Add 200 μL/well blocking buffer (1% BSA) and incubate overnight at 4°C, for avoiding the antibody unspecific binding. It is recommended that for each antibody used, the original manufacturers’ instructions regarding blocking reagents must be followed.
- Remove the blocking buffer by gently aspirating 200 μL/well and wash with PBS as previously described (washing step).
- Add the primary antibody (100 μL/well) previously prepared in 0.1% Triton X-100 solution.
- Incubate for 4 h at room temperature. If the antibody is already conjugated to a fluorophore, protect from light.
- Repeat the washing step twice.
- If an unconjugated primary antibody was used, add the secondary (labelled) antibody (100 μL/well) and incubate for 4 h at room temperature in the dark.
- Repeat the washing step twice.

4.2.1.1. Experimental validation: cell shape and cell cytoskeleton organisation—F-actin staining

Our protocol for fluorescent staining proved to be useful in quantifying the effect of the surrounding environment when culturing cells in 3D. Similarly to signalling molecules, the mechanical stimuli applied by the surrounding environment to cells induce subcellular and cellular events, such as cytoskeleton remodelling and cell shape changes [96, 97]. 3D tumour spheroids were formed without Happy Cell™ ASM in 96-well ULA plates, stained for F-actin (which is one of the main components of cells’ cytoskeleton) and analysed by LSCM. Representative LSCM images of 3D tumour spheroids are shown in Figure 5. A549
cells grown in 2D on glass substrates and stained for F-actin were used as control. Our proto-
col allowed determining that, when grown in scaffold-free 3D tumour spheroids, A549 cells
showed a round cell shape and expressed cortical F-actin; in contrast, 2D cell cultures showed
a cytoskeleton organised in cytoplasmic F-actin stress fibres.

4.2.1.1.2. Experimental validation: cell phenotype—expression of mesenchymal and epithelial
markers

The microenvironment is known to influence the conversion of epithelial cells into mesen-chymal cells in in vitro systems [98, 99] by a process called epithelial-to-mesenchymal transi-
tion (EMT). EMT is a critical series of events that switch early stage carcinomas into invasive
malignancies. EMT is associated with the loss of epithelial cell markers and the acquisition of
mesenchymal features [100, 101].

By applying our protocol for immunocytochemistry, 3D tumour spheroids formed in 96-well
ULA plates were assessed for the expression of two mesenchymal markers: vimentin and fibro-
nectin. Figure 6 shows some representative results. In clinical trials, vimentin expression is used
as a clinical marker of the response of NSCLC to chemotherapeutic agents [102], since increased
expression of this protein gives an indication of tumour progression. In parallel, fibronectin
expression is increased in NSCLC, enhancing the cells’ invasiveness and conferring them resis-
tance to apoptosis-inducing chemotherapeutic agents. Thus, the detection of these markers was
selected during validation experiments as it finds a useful application in the preclinical drug
efficacy-screening pipeline. Our immunocytochemistry could, for example, be used for charac-
terising spheroids cultured at different time points or formed by different protocols, allowing
for the selection of the most relevant in vitro model to be used in preclinical tests. The expres-
sion levels to be mimicked should be assessed based on patient-based, clinically relevant data.

Our immunocytochemistry protocol was also found to be applicable for the evaluation of the
expression of cleaved E-cadherin and β-catenin and for the investigation of their localization
in the cell body (Figure 7A–F). Cells with epithelial phenotypes form adherent junctions. In
such junctions, β-catenin binds to the cytoplasmic tail of the protein E-cadherin. During EMT,
the intracellular fragment of E-cadherin (called cleaved E-cadherin) translocates into the cell nucleus. This results in the abolishment of the E-cadherin-mediated sequestering of β-catenin in the cytoplasm and the translocation of β-catenin to the cell nucleus. Co-localization studies were possible on an example set of LSCM images acquired from specimens prepared as described above. Such studies showed that cleaved E-cadherin and β-catenin were mainly localised in the cells’ nuclei when A549 cells were cultured as 3D tumour spheroids in 96-well ULA plates without Happy Cell™ ASM (Figure 7J).

Tight junctions (TJs) are also involved in cell adhesion and lung cancer development [103]. In particular, occludin plays a critical role in defining the cellular phenotypes in solid tumours [104]: while epithelial cell phenotypes express occludin, cells with mesenchymal phenotypes downregulate occludin expression, resulting in enhanced cellular invasiveness and motility and thus promoting tumorigenic and metastatic properties of tumour cells [101]. Figure 8 shows representative LSCM images demonstrating that the immunocytochemistry protocol described in this study allowed evaluating the expression of occluding in 3D tumour spheroids.
Figure 7. LSCM images of (A-C) 2D cell cultures and (D–F) 3D tumour spheroids stained for cleaved E-cadherin (in green), β-catenin (in red) and nuclei (in blue). 3D tumour spheroids were formed in ULA plates without Happy Cell™ ASM. A549 cells were stained with FITC-conjugated Mouse Anti-Human E-cadherin IgG (1:70 dilution), Mouse Anti-Human β-catenin primary IgG (1:50 dilution) and Hoechst nuclear counterstain (1:400 dilution). As secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated Goat anti-Mouse secondary IgG (1:1000 dilution) was used. (C) White arrows highlight nuclear translocation of β-catenin. (C, F) Projection of Z-stack images obtained with the projection function of Zeiss LSM 5 software (54 sections, total height: 57.32 μm). Scale bars: 10 μm (63× objective lens). (G–I) Volume rendering of representative Z-stack LSCM images of 3D tumour spheroids and (J) co-localization studies. (G–I) Volume rendering was obtained with the Volocity software. Scale bar: 1 unit = 12 μm.
4.2.1.1.3. Experimental validation: tumour differentiation—expression of Connexin-43 (Cx-43)

Cx43 represents one of the predominant gap junction proteins; its down-regulation is associated to poorly differentiated NSCLC, as showed in vitro and in human tissue [105]. Thus, Cx43 is a cellular marker of the tumour differentiation. Figure 8 shows representative micrographs of fixed 3D tumour spheroids labelled with an anti-Cx43 antibody following our protocol described above (Figure 9).
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**Figure 8.** LSCM images of (A) 2D cell cultures and (B–C) 3D tumour spheroids stained for occludin with FITC-conjugated Mouse Anti-Human Occludin IgG (1:50 dilution) (in green) and for nuclei with Hoechst (1:400 dilution) (in blue). 3D tumour spheroids were formed in ULA plates, without Happy Cell™ ASM. (A, C) Projection of Z-stack images were obtained with the projection function of Zeiss LSM 5 software (77 sections, total height: 76 μm). (A–C) Scale bars: 10 μm (63× objective lens).
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**Figure 9.** LSCM images of (A) 2D cell cultures and (B–C) 3D tumour spheroids stained with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human Connexin-43 IgG (1:50 dilution) and Hoechst nuclear counterstain (1:400 dilution). 3D tumour spheroids were formed in ULA plates, without Happy Cell™ ASM. Arrows highlight (A) expression of connexin-43 plaques in 2D cell cultures and (B) cortical expression of connexin-43 in 3D tumour spheroids. (C) Projection of Z-stack images were obtained with the projection function of Zeiss LSM5 software (46 sections, total height: 58.5 μm). (A–C) Scale bars: 10 μm (63× magnification).
4.2.1.2. Advanced microscopy

The protocol presented within this section contains a series of detailed steps that allow examining the morphology of cultured cells subsequent to 3D growth by advanced microscopy, such as, for example, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) or He-ion microscopy (HIM).

It is widely accepted that the preparation methods of biological specimens for SEM/HIM imaging can introduce artefacts. To avoid this and to preserve the cells’ architecture, we recommend employing a drying method using hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). HMDS represents a cost- and time-efficient alternative to critical point drying (CPD) in the preparation of cells for electron microscopy imaging [106, 107].

A. Dehydration of fixed 3D tumour spheroids:

- Following fixation, immerse samples in PBS to remove excess fixative for 10 min—all steps are carried out at room temperature.
- Gently aspirate the 3D tumour spheroids with a 1000 μL tip and transfer them on microscope glass slides previously marked with a liquid-repellent slide marker pen for staining procedures. Please note: the use of a 1000 μL tip is necessary to avoid perturbation of the original 3D spheroids architecture.
- Add an excess of 30% ethanol (EtOH) to samples, and leave them to equilibrate for 10 min. Discard excess liquid. Excess solutions should be discarded by gentle pipetting (avoid vacuum-pipetting) out the liquids, making sure that 3D tumour spheroids (visible by naked eye as small white spots after addition of EtOH) are not accidentally aspirated during this step.
- Repeat previous steps with 50, 70 and 90% EtOH, and leave to equilibrate for 10 min for each solution—discard solutions after each incubation.
- Add an excess of absolute EtOH and leave to equilibrate for 20 min—discard excess liquid. Repeat this step twice, discarding the solution after each incubation.

B. Chemical drying of dehydrated 3D tumour spheroids:

- Add an excess of 30% HDMS and leave to equilibrate for 5 min. Handle HDMS with care. HDMS is a flammable liquid and vapour. It is harmful if swallowed, inhaled or absorbed through skin. It causes severe irritation or burns to skin, eyes and respiratory tract. Avoid contact with eyes and skin. Avoid breathing vapours. Wear goggles, gloves and protective clothing. Use only with adequate ventilation. Wash hands thoroughly after handling. Avoid prolonged or repeated exposure.
- Discard excess solution.
- Add an excess of 60% HDMS and leave to equilibrate for 5 min.
- After discarding the solution, add an excess of pure HDMS and equilibrate for 10 min.
- Remove excess liquid and dry in a chemical fumehood overnight.
4.2.1.2.1. Experimental validation: imaging of 3D architecture, cell membrane texture and cell shape by HIM

He-ion microscopy (HIM) is an advanced imaging technique in which a focused beam of He$^+$ ions is directed onto the sample surface, which liberates secondary electrons that are collected forming detailed images of the sample surface topography [108]. In biomedical sciences, HIM offers various advantages over conventional SEM imaging, such as a high spatial resolution (with better material contrast and improved depth of focus) and the ability to image uncoated, non-conductive samples without the deposition of a metal (or other conductive) overcoat [109, 110], which can indeed reduce and/or completely mask cell surface details [111]. This opens up a whole new range of surface details in biological specimens that can be examined rapidly and with less risk of artefacts. HIM accuracy can be indeed exploited to image the shape, membrane texture, membranous projections and 3D architecture of 3D tumour spheroids with unsurpassed image quality and detail.

Our protocol for advanced microscopy was found valuable for capturing HIM images of 3D tumour spheroids formed without Happy Cell™ ASM in 96-well ULA plates (Figure 10).

4.2.2. Molecular analysis

Because of the central role of proteins in understanding cancer cells responses, it is often valuable to be able to extract, purify and quantify the expression of specific proteins. Thus, we developed an easy-to-use protocol outlining the steps necessary for the extraction of total protein from 3D tumour spheroids.

A. Collect 3D tumour spheroids:

- Aspirate growth media and 3D tumour spheroids formed with a 1000 μL pipette from each well and collect them in a 20 mL tube.
  
  Please note the use of a 1000 μL tip is necessary to avoid perturbation of the original 3D spheroids architecture
- Centrifuge at 5000 rpm at room temperature (25°C) for 20 min.

B. Total protein extraction:

- Aspirate the supernatant and re-suspend the cell-pellet with 1.5 mL of PBS.
- Centrifuge at 5000 rpm at room temperature (25°C) for 20 min.
- Aspirate the supernatant and re-suspend the cell-pellet in lysis buffer.
- After addition of the lysis buffer, mix energetically to favour disaggregation of 3D tumour spheroid-pellet.
- Proceed with standard immunoblotting.
4.2.2.1. Experimental validation: Western Blot of cell junctions

Validation data were obtained using our protocol to extract protein from 3D tumour models cultured in Happy Cell™ ASM in Nunc® low-cell binding plates (24-well format), as confirmation of the molecular fingerprinting of the 3D tumour spheroids. Western blotting, a gold-standard technique in protein detection and quantification, was used for evaluating the expression in 3D tumour spheroids of (i) adherent junctions (namely, full-length protein E-cadherin and β-catenin) and (ii) TJs, such as occluding, zonula occludens-1 (ZO-1) and ZO-3. Cell lysis and Western blotting were performed following previously optimised in-house published protocols [112]. The results obtained (shown in Figure 11) demonstrated the expression of full-length E-cadherin and β-catenin. It should be noted that cells cultured in 3D spheroids showed nuclear translocation of cleaved E-cadherin (Figure 7) as well as

Figure 10. HIM images of A549 cells forming 3D tumour spheroids in ULA plates. The three-dimensional architecture of the spheroids can be appreciated at low magnification (A–B), while the presence of unique membrane ruffles and a multitude of filopodia and lamellipodia on the cells surface can be detected when imaging at high magnifications (C–D). Samples were imaged using an accelerating voltage of 30 kV. The working distance was 8 mm and a 10 μm aperture. The probe current was between 0.5 and 1.5 pA. Images were acquired by collecting the secondary electrons emitted by the interaction between the He-ion beam and the specimen with an Everhart-Thornley detector (part of the He-ion microscope system). The image signal was acquired in a 32- or 64-line integration to each contributing line of the image. Scale bars: (A) 10 μm, (B) 5 μm, (C) 2 μm and (D) 0.5 μm.
the expression of full-length E-cadherin (Figure 11): co-expression of these two forms of the E-cadherin protein is in fact possible during intermediate steps of the EMT process. In addition, Western blot showed that occluding and ZO-1 were expressed, whereas ZO-3 was not. For forming functional TJs, the transmembrane components of occludin need to interact with at least one ZO protein [113]. Our results seemed to suggest therefore that functional TJs might have been formed in 3D tumour spheroids.

4.3. Protocol for the evaluation of biological responses in 3D tumour spheroids

Exposure of 3D tumour spheroids formed with our protocol to drugs or nanomedicine candidates resulted very simple, as the spheroids could be exposed to the tested compounds/nanocarriers by their direct addition in the media, as conventionally used in 2D cell culturing protocols. In order to quantify the cellular responses to the drugs/nanocarriers tested, we developed protocols based on commercially available assays that are routinely applied to monitor intracellular activity in 2D monolayer cell cultures.

Various techniques are used to characterise the effect of anticancer agents on 3D tumour spheroids, as described in a recently published review [95]. In general, monitoring of spheroid integrity by phase contrast imaging is still the most popular technique to evaluate the cytotoxic effects of drugs. Spheroid growth delay, a classical analytical endpoint, is most frequently calculated as the difference between treated and untreated spheroids to reach a particular volume and has recently been proposed for standardised spheroid screening [114]. However, with respect to clinical relevance, loss of spheroid integrity may not result from total tumour cells destruction, as spheroid integrity does not necessarily reflect the presence
of viable cells. Therefore, quantification of cell survival after treatment is desirable. Our protocols for conventional and high-throughput assays can be used for visualising and monitoring the viability of the cell population in the spheroids. Thus, they allow for the investigation of (i) the therapeutic efficacy of tested chemotherapeutic agents, (ii) the toxicity of nanocarriers and/or (iii) the efficiency in delivering anticancer treatments by means of nanocarriers.

4.3.1. Conventional assays

Standardised protocols, which do not require further optimization depending on the rate of cell growth or the cell culturing substrate used, are described in detail for the live/dead cytotoxicity and trypan blue exclusion assays in the following sections.

A. Live/dead cytotoxicity assay in 3D tumour spheroids:

- At the desired time point, harvest cells by gentle pipetting 100 μL/well media. This operation cannot be performed with vacuum pipettes, to avoid accidental aspiration of the 3D tumour spheroids formed.
- Add the pre-warmed staining solution (100 μL/well) to the wells. Staining solution is prepared as recommended in the supplier’s protocol.
- Incubate for 45 min at room temperature in the dark.
- Gently aspirate the 3D tumour spheroids with a 1000 μL tip and proceed to analysis. Please note: the use of a 1000 μL tip is necessary to avoid any potential perturbation in the spatial localization of live/dead cells.
- Please note that it is not possible to carry out analysis on stored specimens.

B. Trypan blue exclusion assay in 3D tumour spheroids:

- Aspirate growth media and 3D tumour spheroids from each well with a 1000 μL pipette and collect them in a 20 mL tube. Please note the use of a 1000 μL tip is necessary to avoid perturbation of the original 3D spheroids architecture, triggering any potential cell death.
- Centrifuge at 3000 rpm at room temperature (25°C) for 5 min.
- Aspirate the supernatant and re-suspend the cells with 1 mL of PBS.
- Add 100 μL of trypan blue solution (0.4%) to 1 mL of cells.
- After addition of the trypan blue solution, mix energetically to favour disaggregation of 3D tumour spheroids.
- Load a haemocytometer or a cell counter slide with the stained cell suspension.
- Immediately count the number of blue stained cells and the number of unstained cells under a low magnification microscope or with an automatic cell counter.
- To calculate the percentage (%) of live cells use Eq. (1):
% live cells = \left[ 1 - \frac{\text{number of stained cells}}{\text{number of total cells}} \right] \times 100 \quad (1)

4.3.1.1. Experimental validation: therapeutic efficacy of Paclitaxel in 3D tumour spheroids

In the validation of our protocol, the live/dead cytotoxicity assay was integrated with LSCM. 3D tumour spheroids were formed without Happy Cell™ ASM in 96-well ULA plates. 3D tumour spheroids were then exposed to Paclitaxel (0.03 mM) for 24 and 72 h, and the percentage of live cells was compared to the paired negative (untreated cells) and positive controls (cells treated with 70% MeOH for 30 min at 37°C). Representative LSCM images of 3D tumour spheroids stained with the devised protocol for live/dead cytotoxicity assay are shown in Figure 12. Analysis of LSCM images demonstrates a small (but not significant) increase in the proportion of dead cells when 3D tumour spheroids were exposed to Paclitaxel for 24 h, while the size of the spheroids appeared reduced following exposure at both time points. The treatment with 70% MeOH provided the expected positive control outcome.

Further to this, the viability of 3D tumour spheroids exposed to Paclitaxel (0.03 mM) for 24 and 72 h was evaluated by means of the trypan blue exclusion assay protocol. Quantitative data are reported in Figure 13 as the average ± standard deviation (n\text{ replicates} ≥ 2). Exposure to Paclitaxel for 24 h resulted in a significant reduction in cell viability in 3D tumour spheroids, although cell viability increased after 72 h treatment. This result might be related to the well-known ability of 3D tumour spheroids to mimic drug resistance phenomena \cite{115, 116}, which has been observed in vivo. As expected, treatment with 70% MeOH resulted in a significant reduction of live cells as positive control sample.

4.3.1.2. Experimental validation: cytotoxicity of nanocarriers in 3D tumour spheroids and therapeutic efficacy of anticancer agents delivered by nanocarriers

3D tumour spheroids were formed without Happy Cell™ ASM in 96-well ULA plates and exposed to gold nanoboxes (Au-1) and its nanomedicine form consisting of a multi-layered nanomaterial made of the same gold core (Au-1) coated with Paclitaxel-encapsulated gelatine (Au-2). Full characterisation of these nanomaterials is provided in a previous publication of the authors \cite{117}. 3D tumour spheroids were exposed to Au-1 and Au-2 for 24 or 72 h. The experimental design included a negative control (untreated cells) and a positive control (cells treated with 70% MeOH for 30 min at 37°C). Representative LSCM images of 3D tumour spheroids stained with the live/dead cytotoxicity assay protocol are shown in Figure 14. Analysis of such images allowed concluding that Au-1 did not produce any significant variation in the cell viability following 24 and 72 h exposure, whereas 72 h exposure to Au-2 resulted in a reduction of the total amount of live cells in the 3D tumour spheroids.

Trypan blue exclusion assay was carried out in parallel experiments, where 3D tumour spheroids formed in 96-well ULA plates were exposed to Au-1 for 24 and 72 h. The quantitative results are reported in Figure 15 as average ± standard deviation (n\text{ replicates} ≥ 2). The assay confirmed that such nanocarriers did not cause any cytotoxicity when incubated with 3D tumour spheroids for 72 h.
4.3.2. High-throughput assays

The setup based on high-throughput flow cytometry is described below. This setup could be easily integrated into a standard large-scale drug-testing routine. The setup requires a small number of spheroids and a limited amount of drug/nanomedicine candidate, and it can integrate many analytical endpoints. Since spheroid dissociation is carried out during the sample preparation steps, this assay is suitable for all spheroid size ranges that may be examined when comparing treated and untreated spheroids.

Figure 12. LSCM images of 3D tumour spheroids stained for live (calcein AM; 1:500 dilution; in green) and dead (ethidium homodimer-1; 1:300 dilution; in red) cells after 24 and 72 h exposure to Paclitaxel (0.03 mM). Negative (untreated 3D tumour spheroids) and positive (3D tumour spheroids treated with 70% MeOH for 30 min) controls are also reported. Scale bars: 10 μm (63× objective lens).
A. Sample preparation:

- At the desired time point, aspirate growth media and 3D tumour spheroids from each well with a 1000 μL pipette and collect them in a 20 mL tube.
- Centrifuge at 1650 rpm at room temperature (25°C) for 5 min.

B. Assay:

- Perform the cell staining with the kit chosen according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and run the sample in the flow cytometer.
Special considerations: please note that the assay kit used in this study does not require a step for disaggregating the 3D tumour spheroids in a single cell suspension, as lysis of cells is performed during the assay. An additional step might be required if a different kit is used.

4.3.2.1. Experimental validation: changes in cell cycle following exposure to Paclitaxel

Example data were obtained using the protocol described above to quantify the cell cycle changes in 3D tumour spheroids exposed to Paclitaxel (0.03 mM) for 24 h. Stained nuclei were visualised using the SSC-H vs FSC-H scatter plot and a gate (P1) was applied to exclude debris at lower scatter intensities. Aggregate exclusion gating (P2 in P1) via doublet discrimination was performed on the P1 population using the FL2-H vs FL2-A scatter. Finally, analysis of the cell cycle stage for G0/G1, S and G2/M phase was carried out by manual gating on the FL2-H histogram. A minimum of 10,000 events was collected in the (P2 in P1) gate and visualised on the FL2-H histogram. Data are presented in Figure 16 as percentage (%) cell population in (P2 in P1) and expressed as average ± standard deviation (n_{test} = 2).
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**Figure 15.** Percentage (%) of live cells in 3D tumour spheroids grown in ULA plates after exposure to gold nanoparticles for 24 and 72 h. Untreated (negative control or NT) and 70% MeOH-treated (positive control or PT) 3D tumour spheroids were also included in the experimental design. Stained and unstained cells were counted by a Countess™ cell counter. For the statistical analysis, a two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test analysis was carried out (GraphPad Prism 5 Software Inc., USA). *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The symbols (*) and (**) indicate *p* < 0.05 and *p* < 0.01 as compared to NT.
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**Figure 16.** Percentage (%) of cell population in the G0/G1, S and G2/M phases after exposure to Paclitaxel (0.03 mM) for 24 h. Cells were stained with the BD Cycletest™ Plus DNA Reagent Kit and analysed using BD Accuri™ C6 flow cytometer. Data are shown as average ± standard deviation (n_{replicates} = 2). For the statistical analysis, a two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test analysis was carried out (GraphPad Prism 5 Software Inc., USA). *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The symbols (**) and (***) indicate significant changes (*p* < 0.01 and *p* < 0.001, respectively) compared to the G0/G1 phase.
Our data showed an increase in the percentage cell population in G0/G1 phase after 24 h treatment with Paclitaxel. This anticancer drug is known to inhibit the mitotic spindle formation, thus blocking the progression of mitosis and triggering apoptosis or reversion to the G-phase of the cell cycle without cell division. The results presented in Figure 16 reflect the mechanism of action of the drug under investigation, and validate the trypan blue exclusion assay results, showing an increased cell death following 24 h exposure to Paclitaxel (Figure 13).

5. Authors’ perspective

Since 2006, when a simple method to generate non-adherent 3D tumour spheroids for potential high-throughput toxicity analysis of drug compounds was firstly reported [118], several methods have been developed to generate scaffold-free 3D tumour spheroids for drug discovery. These methods share the common feature of promoting cell-cell coupling by resisting cell-substrate interactions. They can be grouped into four main methodological categories: (i) hanging drop [85, 119, 120]; (ii) cell culture on non-adherent surfaces that effectively inhibits cellular attachment, such as (poly-HEMA)-coated plates [121, 122], low-binding plates [123, 124], ultra-low attachment (ULA) plates [125] or micro-patterned plates [126, 127]; (iii) microcarrier systems [128] and (iv) rotation-based culturing techniques, such as spinner flasks [129] and rotary cell culture systems [130, 131]. Formation of scaffold-free heterotypic multicellular 3D spheroids has likewise been extensively reported [132]. Nevertheless, when transferring drug testing to the third dimension, the limited ability to image, process and automate assays performance in 3D tumour spheroids still remains the main barrier for the adoption of these 3D culture techniques for routine preclinical drug development studies [133].

To the best of our knowledge, the main commercial approaches to the automation of scaffold-free 3D cell culturing techniques are InSphero GravityPLUS™ Hanging Drop system and GravityTRAP™ ULA plates, 3D Biomatrix’s Perfecta3D™ hanging drop multi-well plates, Global Cell Solutions magnetic microcarrier-based GEM™ and PolyGEM™ systems, and Happy Cell™ ASM. Although each method has certain advantages, the challenges that these approaches still pose for automation are well-documented [24]. In detail, limitations are posed to imaging due to Z-axis resolution, image depth and light scattering [134], although advances have been recently made in this field [135] by, for example, introducing additional post-processing treatments of 3D spheroids with Scale reagent [136]. The main consequence is that most studies on 3D tumour spheroids are carried out at low resolution by light microscopy and immunohistochemistry, or at the single-cell level by multiphoton microscopy or spectroscopic imaging/mapping techniques [137]. These techniques however are not compatible with high-throughput screening. In addition to these technical aspects, most of the commercially available products for scaffold-free 3D cell culture are not accompanied by technical documentation or peer-reviewed scientific publications describing the specific protocols that need to be used for drug discovery applications. For many of the products mentioned above, users and operators are left with the burden of re-optimising the working protocols to their specific needs. For instance, it is known that cells in Happy Cell™ ASM, a product used in this study, form discrete populations within the wells of 96-well low-cell binding plates provided by the same supplier.
and, during continued culture, they assemble into spheroids. However, no information is provided on: (i) the size of the 3D tumour spheroids formed in the multi-well plates supplied by Biocroi Ltd itself; (ii) the size of the 3D tumour spheroids obtained if using multi-well plates purchased from different commercial sources and compatible with high-throughput screening assays and (iii) how to standardise and homogenise the size of 3D tumour spheroids. Similarly, according to the manufacturer, protocols for the formation, maintenance and harvesting of 3D tumour spheroids formed in 3D Biomatrix’s Perfecta3D™ hanging drop multi-well plates should be optimised by each user[138–140]. Such uncertainties are indeed strongly hindering the integration of 3D culturing technologies into the pharmaceutical industry practice.

The aim of this study was to develop practical and technical solutions filling such gaps of standardisation, focusing on screening applications that are achievable without expensive robotic equipment and that could be employed in many research labs. Happy Cell™ ASM was selected as the least characterised product, whereas low-binding/ULA multi-well plates were chosen as they are the most common substrates currently used for forming 3D tumour spheroids. Human adenocarcinoma cells (A549 cell line) were selected as a relevant model for developing technical protocols with translational potential in the drug development pipeline: all results presented here are based on such cell line. The A549 cell line is considered one of the closest cell line mimicking the human epithelial alveolar model [141], and a physiologically relevant in vitro model of NSCLC [142], which is the most prevalent form of human lung cancer originating from epithelial cells. A549 cells are also an established cell line for anticancer drugs discovery and screening [143] and it has proven to be a robust cell line/alveolar model for several previous nanomaterial-based studies [112, 117, 144–148]. Unlike other studies on A549 cells [118, 149–151], the formation of our 3D tumour spheroids did not require architecturally complex scaffolds or reconstitute basement membrane that could circumvent some applications, such as drug delivery and assays performance.

The protocols developed can be grouped in three categories (in dark blue in Figure 1). For each of the identified categories, experimental validation was carried out and is reported in the form in which they have been used in our lab, namely forming 3D tumour spheroids and incorporating them into a 3D co-culture model, characterising the markers expressed by 3D tumour spheroids, and testing an anticancer drug or gold nanocarriers.

Our protocol allowed cell aggregation and spheroids formation in standard commercially available multi-well plates, thus meeting the pharmaceutical industry requirements [133]. Formation of 3D tumour spheroids was easily controlled by tuning specific parameters (i.e. the initial cell aggregation and cells adhesion to the substrate). 3D structure and mechanical robustness are mandatory requirements to allow the translation and implementation of 3D tumour spheroids into a primary drug-testing routine. Without these features, in fact, the pathophysiological gradients developed in genuine tumour spheroids cannot be mimicked [94]. Unfortunately, some so-called spheroids in the literature are no more than loose aggregates that easily detach; they cannot be manipulated or transferred and they show lack cell-cell interactions [23]. Our experimental data demonstrated that only 3D tumour spheroids formed in 24-well low-cell binding plates and ULA plates were mechanically robust (Figure 3). 3D tumour spheroids formed in these cell culture plates could be easily transferred.
onto different substrates for further specific analysis (e.g. immunofluorescence staining and imaging), showing a beneficial advantage over other multi-well cell plates.

As heterotypic cell interactions are key for the function of certain tissues, co-cultures including multiple cell types are another means of increasing relevance to in vivo scenario [152]. A protocol for forming 3D co-culture tumour models was therefore developed. Various examples of spheroid co-culture approaches are reported in the literature [153] including, but not limited to: (i) mixed spheroids, mimicking, for example, lung cancer [154]; (ii) tumour spheroids cultured on fibroblast monolayers as model of colon carcinoma [155, 156] or breast cancer [157] and (iii) tumour spheroids co-cultured with pre-established fibroblast spheroids for creating in vitro models of breast cancer [158–160]. Mixed spheroids are the most widely used approach. In this approach, however, the histo-morphology and cellular distribution cannot be accurately controlled by the end-user, resulting in not-homogenous (and not replicable) models, even if identical cell numbers and harmonised culture protocols are used. These models have therefore limited application as drug screening models in the pharmaceutical industry. Thus, in our study a co-culture approach in which tumour spheroids were plated onto a fibroblast monolayer was preferred. Fibroblasts were selected as these cells represent a major portion of the tumour stroma in carcinomas, and they can promote cancer progression and invasion [161, 162]. Confocal images of the 3D co-culture models formed in this study demonstrated the successful attachment of 3D spheroids of A549 cells to the fibroblast monolayer (Figure 4), suggesting interaction among the different cell types.

Since the presence or extent of certain tumour characteristics, such as those associated to EMT, are likely to affect drug response, it is essential to extensively characterise the spheroid model used during drug screening. The protocols described in our study allowed a simple and economically feasible evaluation of cell markers expression (Figures 5–10) by means of conventional and advanced microscopy approaches. Spheroids characteristics were also assessed by Western blotting (Figure 11). Although not all the main features of solid cancers (such as the influence of acellular stroma and immune cells) were modelled through our formation protocols, our results demonstrated that 3D tumour spheroids did reflect many important properties of solid tumours, including the expression of specific cancer markers.

Finally, keeping in mind that one of the preferred embodiments of the protocols described herein is their application in research labs for efficacy screening of new chemotherapeutic agents and oncological nanomedicine products, cell features/responses were assessed by conventional and high-throughput assays (Figures 12–16). Various studies provide evidence that standard cell proliferation assays (e.g. AlamarBlue assay [163]) are not suitable for quantifying cytotoxic/cytostatic responses in 3D tumour spheroids, as they do not show a linear correlation with cell densities [164], unless properly adapted. Similarly, nanomaterials are known to interfere with many assays (e.g. MTT) [3, 165]. Our protocols based on conventional viability assays satisfied criteria, such as ease-of-use and reliability, without losing the main advantages of validated commercially available kits. A protocol for high-throughput flow cytometry was also developed, resolving time and cost issues [166]. The implementation of flow cytometry can be regarded as advantageous since this technique is widely used in clinical laboratories and can include multiple molecular read-outs and endpoints.
6. Conclusions

3D tumour spheroids can allow the fast development of new effective anticancer nanomedicines without dissatisfying safety, economical and ethical issues raised by societal, healthcare and pharmaceutical industry stakeholders. However, this can be achieved only by developing clear, simple and reproducible protocols for the formation and use of 3D tumour spheroids in a way that is compatible with standard analysis techniques. The protocols described within this work offer a set of tools addressing many of the problems that need to be overcome in order to translate 3D tumour spheroids into preclinical models. The obtained data validate the developed protocols to bear a novel platform technology solving the existing bottlenecks in the arena of anticancer drug and nanomedicine products development, presented here in the form of gold nanocarriers.
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